

These practice problems are pulled from Ljungqvist and Sargent (2018).

**1. Random discount factor** Consider a Huggett-style incomplete markets model where individual households face discount factor shocks. A household has preferences over consumption of a single good ordered by a value function defined recursively by

$$v(\beta_t, a_t, s_t) = u(c_t) + \beta_t \mathbb{E}_t \left[ v(\beta_{t+1}, a_{t+1}, s_{t+1}) \right]$$

where  $\beta_t \in (0, 1)$  is the time  $t$  value of a discount factor, and  $a_t$  is time  $t$  holding of a single asset. Here  $v$  is the discounted utility for a consumer with asset holding  $a_t$ , discount factor  $\beta_t$ , and employment state  $s_t$ . The discount factor evolves according to a three-state Markov chain with transition probabilities  $P(\beta'|\beta) = \text{Prob}(\beta_{t+1} = \beta' | \beta_t = \beta)$ . The discount factor and employment state at  $t$  are both known. The household faces the sequence of budget constraints

$$c_t + qa_{t+1} \leq a_t + s_t$$

where  $s_t$  evolves according to an  $n$ -state Markov chain with transition probability  $Q(s'|s) = \text{Prob}(s_{t+1} = s' | s_t = s)$ . The household faces the borrowing constraint  $a_{t+1} \geq -\phi$  for all  $t$ . Formulate Bellman equations for the household's problem and define a stationary equilibrium for this economy.

$$v(\beta, a, s) = \max_{a', c} \left\{ u(c) + \beta \sum_{\beta'} \sum_{s'} v(\beta', a', s') P(\beta'|\beta) Q(s'|s) \right\}$$

$$s.t. \quad a' + c \leq (1+r)a + ws \quad \text{and} \quad a' \geq -\phi$$

Let  $a' = g(\beta, a, s)$  denote the policy function implied by the maximization on the RHS of the Bellman equation. A stationary recursive equilibrium is a value function  $v(\beta, a, s)$ , a policy function  $g(\beta, a, s)$ , a stationary distribution  $\Omega(\beta, a, s)$ , and price  $q$  such that:

1. Taking  $q$  as given,  $v(\beta, a, s)$  and  $g(\beta, a, s)$  solve the dynamic programming problem for an individual of type  $\beta, a, s$ .
2. The asset market clears.

$$\sum_{\beta} \sum_a \sum_s g(\beta, a, s) \Omega(\beta, a, s) = 0$$

3. The distribution  $\Omega(\beta, a, s)$  is stationary.

$$\Omega(\beta', a', s') = \sum_{\beta} \sum_a \sum_s \mathcal{Q} \left( (\beta', a', s'), (\beta, a, s) \right) \Omega(\beta, a, s)$$

$$\text{where} \quad \mathcal{Q} \left( (\beta', a', s'), (\beta, a, s) \right) = \mathbb{I}\{a' = g(\beta, a, s)\} P(\beta'|\beta) Q(s'|s)$$

**2. Unemployment** There is a continuum of workers with identical probabilities  $\lambda$  of being fired each period when they are employed. With probability  $\mu \in (0,1)$ , each unemployed worker receives one offer to work at wage  $w$  drawn from the cumulative distribution function  $F$  with the support  $[0,B]$ . If he accepts the offer, the worker receives the offered wage each period until he is fired. With probability  $1 - \mu$ , an unemployed worker receives no offer this period. The probability  $\mu$  is determined by the function  $\mu = f(U)$ , where  $U$  is the unemployment rate, and  $f'(U) < 0, f(0) = 1, f(1) = 0$ . A worker's utility is given by  $\mathbb{E}_{t=1}^{\infty} = \beta^t y_t$ , where  $\beta \in (0,1)$  and  $y_t$  is income in period  $t$ , which equals the wage if employed and zero otherwise. There is no unemployment compensation. Each worker regards  $U$  as fixed and constant over time in making his decisions.

- a. For fixed  $U$ , write the Bellman equation for the worker. Argue that his optimal policy has the reservation wage property.

$$V^u(U) = 0 + \beta \left[ f(U) \int_0^B \max \left\{ V^e(w'), \int_0^1 V^u(U') df(U') \right\} dF(w') + [1 - f(U)] \int_0^1 V^u(U') df(U') \right]$$

$$V^e(w) = w + \beta \left[ \lambda \int_0^1 V^u(U') df(U') + [1 - \lambda] V^e(w) \right]$$

Rewrite the value of being employed

$$V^e(w) = \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \beta + \lambda\beta} \right] w + \left[ \frac{\lambda\beta}{1 - \beta + \lambda\beta} \right] \int_0^1 V^u(U') df(U')$$

Substitute this into the value function of being unemployed.

$$V^u(U) = \beta \left[ f(U) \int_0^B \max \{ Aw + \lambda\beta A \mathbb{E}[V^u], \mathbb{E}[V^u] \} dF(w') + [1 - f(U)] \mathbb{E}[V^u] \right]$$

where  $A = \frac{1}{1 - \beta + \lambda\beta}$

$$\mathbb{E}[V^u] = \int_0^1 V^u(U') df(U')$$

Conditional on receiving an offer, the unemployed worker accepts the draw  $w$  if and only if  $w \geq R$ , where

$$R = \beta \left[ \frac{1 - \lambda\beta A}{A} \right] \mathbb{E}[V^u]$$

Let  $\mathbb{I}\{w \geq R\}$  denote the policy function implied by the maximization on the RHS of the Bellman equation for the unemployed. Please check professor Rupert's solution to derive reservation wage  $R$  that you discussed in Winter.

- b. Given the typical worker's policy (i.e., his reservation wage), display a difference equation for the unemployment rate. Show that a stationary unemployment

$$\lambda(1 - U) = Uf(U)[1 - F(R)],$$

where  $R$  is the reservation wage.

$$\begin{aligned} U' &= (1 - U)\lambda + U[1 - f(U)[1 - F(R)]] \\ \lambda(1 - U) &= Uf(U)[1 - F(R)] \end{aligned} \quad (U' = U)$$

- c. Define a stationary equilibrium.

A stationary equilibrium is a stationary unemployment rate  $U$ , value functions  $\{V^u(U), V^e(w)\}$ , and a policy function  $\mathbb{I}\{w \geq R\}$  such that

- (a) Taking  $U$  as given,  $V^u(U)$ ,  $V^e(w)$ , and  $\mathbb{I}\{w \geq R\}$  solve the dynamic programming problem.
- (b) The equilibrium unemployment rate is stationary.

$$\lambda(1 - U) = Uf(U)[1 - F(\bar{w})]$$